

1. HIGH LEVEL REVIEW OF KEY PRINCIPLES

	GOOD PRACTICE QUESTIONS	SELF ASSESSMENT (KNOWLEDGE) (Please indicate with X in the relevant box)		GE) th X in the ox)	STRENGTHS / WHAT WORKS WELL	AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT
Audi	t committee purpose and governance	YES	PARTLY	NO		
1	Does the audit committee report directly to full council?					
2	Do the Terms of reference clearly set out the purpose of the committee in accordance with CIPFA's Position Statement?					
3	Is the role and purpose of the audit committee understood and accepted across the authority?					
4	Does the audit committee provide support to the authority in meeting the requirements of good governance?					
5	Are the arrangements to hold the committee to account for its performance operating satisfactorily?					



	GOOD PRACTICE QUESTIONS	SELF ASSESSMENT			STRENGTHS / WHAT WORKS	AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT
		(KNOWLEDGE)		•	WELL	
		(Please indicate with X in the		th X in the		
			relevant box)			
		YES	PARTLY	NO		
Fund	tions of the committee					
6	Do the committee's terms of					
	reference explicitly address all the					
	core areas identified in CIPFA's					
	Position Statement?					
	■ Good governance					
	 Assurance framework, including 					
	partnerships and collaboration					
	arrangements					
	 Internal audit 					
	External audit					
	Financial reporting					
	Risk Management					
	 Value for money or best value 					
	Counter fraud and corruption					
	 Supporting the ethical 					
	framework					
7	Is an annual evaluation undertaken					
	to assess whether the committee is					
	fulfilling its terms of reference and					
	that adequate consideration has					
	been given to all core areas?					
	0					
		l	1	l .		1



	GOOD PRACTICE QUESTIONS	C	ELF ASSESSM	1ENT	STRENGTHS / WHAT WORKS	AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT
	GOOD TRACTICE QUESTIONS	(KNOWLEDGE)			WELL	ANEAS FOR IIVII NOVEIVIEIVI
		(Please indicate with X in the		•	VVLL	
		(Pleasi				
			relevant bo			
		YES	PARTLY	NO		
8	Has the audit committee considered					
	the wider areas identified in CIPFA's					
	Position Statement and whether it					
	would be appropriate for the					
	committee to undertake them?					
9	Where coverage of core areas has					
	been found to be limited, are plans					
	in place to address this?					
10	Has the committee maintained its					
	advisory role by not taking on any					
	decision-making powers that are not					
	in line with its core purpose?					
Men	nbership and Support					
11	Has an effective audit committee					
	structure and composition of the					
	committee been selected?					
	This should include:					
	 Separation from the executive 					
	 An appropriate mix of 					
	knowledge and skills among the					
	membership					
	membersnip					



					1	
	GOOD PRACTICE QUESTIONS	S	ELF ASSESSIV		STRENGTHS / WHAT WORKS	AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT
		(KNOWLEDGE)		GE)	WELL	
		(Please indicate with X in the		th X in the		
			relevant bo	x)		
		YES	PARTLY	NO		
	 A size of committee that is not unwieldy 					
12	Does the chair of the committee have appropriate knowledge and skills?					
13	Are arrangements in place to support the committee with briefings and training?					
14	Has the membership of the committee been assessed against the core knowledge and skills framework and found to be satisfactory?					
15	Does the committee have good working relations with key people and organisations, including external audit, internal audit and the CFO?					
16	Is adequate secretariat and administrative support to the committee provided?					

STRONGER TOGETHER

17	Has the committee obtained feedback on its performance from those interacting with the committee or relying on its work?	ELF ASSESSM (KNOWLEDGE indicate with relevant bo PARTLY	GE) th X in the	STRENGTHS / WHAT WORKS WELL	AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT
18	Are meetings effective with a good level of discussion and engagement from all members?				
19	Does the committee engage with a wide range of leaders and managers, including discussion of audit findings, risks and action plans with responsible officers?				
20	Does the committee make recommendations for the improvement of governance, risk and control and are these acted on?				
21	Has the committee evaluated whether and how it is adding value to the organisation?				



	GOOD PRACTICE QUESTIONS			GE) th X in the	STRENGTHS / WHAT WORKS WELL	AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT
		YES	PARTLY	NO		
22	Does the committee have an action plan to improve any areas of weakness?					
23	Does the committee publish an annual report to account for its performance and explain its work?					

2. EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Assessment Key

- Clear evidence is available from a number of sources that the committee is actively supporting improvements across all aspects of this area. The improvements made are clearly identifiable
- 4 Clear evidence from some sources that the committee is actively and effectively supporting improvement across some aspects of this area
- The committee has had mixed experience in supporting improvement in this area. There is some evidence that demonstrates their impact but there are also significant gaps
- There is some evidence that the committee has supported improvements, but the impact of this support is limited
- 1 No evidence can be found that the audit committee has supported improvements in this area



AREAS WHERE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE CAN ADD VALUE BY SUPPORTING IMPROVEMENT	EXAMPLES OF HOW THE AUDIT COMMITTEE CAN ADD VALUE AND PROVIDE EVIDENCE OF EFFECTIVENESS	SELF EVALUATION, EXAMPLES , AREAS OF STRENGTH AND WEAKNESS	OVERALL ASSESSMENT 5-1 (SEE KEY ABOVE)
Promoting the principles of good governance and their application to decision making	 Supporting the development of a local code of governance Providing robust review of the AGS and the assurances underpinning it Working with key members to improve their understanding of the AGS and their contribution to it Supporting reviews/audits of governance arrangements Participating in self- assessments of governance arrangements Working with partner audit committees to review governance arrangements in partnerships 		
Contributing to the development of an effective control environment	 Actively monitoring the implementation of recommendations from auditors Encouraging ownership of the internal control framework by appropriate managers Raising significant concerns over controls with appropriate senior manager 		



AREAS WHERE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE CAN ADD VALUE BY SUPPORTING IMPROVEMENT	EXAMPLES OF HOW THE AUDIT COMMITTEE CAN ADD VALUE AND PROVIDE EVIDENCE OF EFFECTIVENESS	SELF EVALUATION, EXAMPLES , AREAS OF STRENGTH AND WEAKNESS	OVERALL ASSESSMENT 5-1 (SEE KEY ABOVE)
Supporting the establishment of arrangements for the governance of risk and for effective arrangements to manage risks	 Reviewing risk management arrangements and their effectiveness, eg risk management benchmarking Monitoring improvements Holding risk owners to account for major/strategic risks 		
Advising on the adequacy of the assurance framework and considering whether assurance is deployed efficiently and effectively	 Specifying its assurance needs, identifying gaps or overlaps in assurance Seeking to streamline assurance gathering and reporting Reviewing the effectiveness of assurance providers, eg internal audit, risk management, external audit 		
Supporting the quality of the internal audit activity, particularly by underpinning its organisational independence	 Reviewing the audit charter and functional reporting arrangements Assessing the effectiveness of internal audit arrangements, providing constructive challenge and supporting improvements Actively supporting the quality assurance and improvement programme of internal audit 		



AREAS WHERE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE CAN ADD VALUE BY SUPPORTING IMPROVEMENT	EXAMPLES OF HOW THE AUDIT COMMITTEE CAN ADD VALUE AND PROVIDE EVIDENCE OF EFFECTIVENESS	SELF EVALUATION, EXAMPLES , AREAS OF STRENGTH AND WEAKNESS	OVERALL ASSESSMENT 5-1 (SEE KEY ABOVE)
Aiding the achievement of the authority's goals and objectives through helping to ensure appropriate governance, risk, control and assurance arrangements	 Reviewing how the governance arrangements support the achievement of sustainable outcomes Reviewing major projects and programmes to ensure that governance and assurance arrangements are in place Reviewing the effectiveness of performance management arrangements 		
Supporting the development of robust arrangements for ensuring value for money	 Ensuring that assurance on value for money arrangements is included in the assurances received by the audit committee Considering how performance in value for money is evaluated as part of the AGS 		
Helping the authority to implement the values of good governance, including effective	 Reviewing arrangements against the standards set out in the Code of Practice on Managing the Risk of Fraud and Corruption (CIPFA, 2014) Reviewing fraud risks and the effectiveness of the organisation's strategy to address those risks 		



AREAS WHERE THE AUDIT COMMITTEE CAN ADD VALUE BY SUPPORTING IMPROVEMENT	EXAMPLES OF HOW THE AUDIT COMMITTEE CAN ADD VALUE AND PROVIDE EVIDENCE OF EFFECTIVENESS	SELF EVALUATION, EXAMPLES , AREAS OF STRENGTH AND WEAKNESS	OVERALL ASSESSMENT 5-1 (SEE KEY ABOVE)
arrangements for countering fraud and corruption risks	Assessing the effectiveness of ethical governance arrangements for both staff and governors.		
Promoting effective public reporting to the authority's stakeholders and local community and measures to improve transparency and accountability	 Improving how the authority discharges its responsibilities for public reporting; for example, better targeting at the audience, plain English Reviewing whether decision making through partnership organisations remains transparent and publicly accessible and encourages greater transparency Publishing an annual report from the committee 		